



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/665,742	09/17/2003	Magnus Bolmsjo	211.313	4686
28785	7590	10/23/2009		
JOHN R LEY, LLC 5299 DTC BLVD, SUITE 340 GREENWOOD VILLAGE, CO 80111			EXAMINER MARCEYCH, ADAM M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3761	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/23/2009 PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No. 10/665,742 Examiner Adam Marcetich	Applicant(s) BOLMSJO ET AL. <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <th style="padding: 2px 5px;">Art Unit</th> <th style="width: 20%;"></th> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center; padding: 2px 5px;">3761</td> <td></td> </tr> </table>	Art Unit		3761	
Art Unit						
3761						

All Participants: **Status of Application:** _____
 (1) Mr. John R. Ley, Applicant's Representative. (3) _____.
 (2) Adam Marcetich. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 October 2009 **Time:** 2 PM

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____ .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Tatyana Zalukaeva/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3761

/Adam Marcetich/
Examiner, Art Unit 3761

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Applicant notes the declarations from inventors Magnus Bolmsjo and Sonny Schelin filed February 23 and 20, 2009, respectively. Applicant requests to clarify whether Examiner considered an unknown declaration of January 21, 2009 (3/30 Action, 23). Examiner notes that the January 21, 2009 date refers to arguments filed January 21, 2009, and that the Office Action mailed March 30, 2009 responded to both declarations filed February 23 and 20, 2009. The response to declarations addresses a rejection over Norton and Anderson

Examiner apologizes for any confusion among the dates for arguments and declarations.

Examiner notes that a pre-appeal conference was held Wednesday, 21 October 2009, with a decision to proceed to the Board of Patent Appeals. Examiner will respond to any new arguments regarding the new rejection over Rioux in view of Resseman.